FARRIS MATHEWS BRANAN BOBANGO HELLEN & DUNLAP PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MEMPHIS DOWNTOWN
One Commerce Square, Suite 2000
Memphis, Tennessee 38103
Telephone 901-259-7100
Facsimile 901-259-7150

Charles B. Welch, Jr cwelch@farrismathews com

HISTORIC CASTNER-KNOTT BUILDING 618 CHURCH STREET, SUITE 300 NASHVILLE, TN 37219

> (615) 726-1200 telephone (615) 726-1776 facsimile

July 22, 2005

MEMPHIS EAST
1100 Ridgeway Loop Road, Suite 400
Memphis, Tennessee 38120
Telephone 901-259-7120
Facsimile 2901-259-7180?

TN REGUL Reply to AUTHORITY

HAND DELIVERED

Chairman Ron Jones Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

RE: Petition to Establish Generic Docket to Consider Amendments to Interconnection Agreements Resulting from Changes of Law (Docket No. 04-00381)

Dear Chairman Jones:

Please find enclosed for filing, an original and 14 copies of a letter we are filing on behalf of XO Communications and the Southeastern Competitive Carriers Association at the request of Dana Shaffer. Ms. Shaffer is out of town today. Please date stamp one copy for my records. Thank you for your assistance regarding this matter. If you have any questions, or if I may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

FARRIS MATHEWS BRANAN BOBANGO HELLEN & DUNLAP, PLC

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

CBW/tp Enclosures Director Deborah T. Tate 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243

In Re: Petition to Establish Generic Docket to Consider Amendments to Interconnection Agreements Resulting from Changes of Law Docket No. 04-00381

Dear Director Tate:

XO Communications Services, Inc. ("XO") has conferred with CompSouth on the issue of withdrawal of issues 7 and 21 from the joint issues matrix in the above-captioned proceeding. As I mentioned at the status conference yesterday, XO was not consulted on the withdrawal of these issues from the docket. SECCA was not consulted on the withdrawal, either.

In filing such withdrawal as agreed to by all of the parties to the docket, CompSouth and BellSouth not only failed to obtain the agreement of all parties, but also failed to mention that issue number 7, dealing with whether changed circumstances can affect a wire center's status as non-impaired, is the subject of a motion for reconsideration filed by a number of CLECs, including XO and US LEC (both SECCA members), in the Matter of Unbundled Access to Network Elements/Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, WC Docket No. 04-313/CC Docket No. 01-338 (the "TRRO Docket"). There is also a pending forebearance petition in the docket at the FCC, with comments due September 12.

XO and SECCA do not object to the withdrawal of issue 7 (or issue 21) under the current status of the law, but reserve any and all rights to bring this issue, and any other issue under reconsideration, back before this Authority, in this or a separate docket, should any FCC ruling result in further change of law. Had XO and SECCA been properly consulted in the drafting of the withdrawal filing on behalf of all parties to the docket, the filing could simply have included full disclosure of pertinent information on this issue, and XO and SECCA would have been in support of the filing.

Thank you for ensuring that all parties had, indeed, agreed to the withdrawal of these issues, and for giving XO and SECCA an opportunity to review the matter before agreeing to such withdrawal.

Sincerely,

Dana Shaffer

cc: Counsel of Record